





#### **Computational Neuroimaging**

#### Andreea Diaconescu

Methods & Models 2018



#### What is it all about?



- Why do we use functional magnetic resonance imaging?To measure brain activity
- When does the brain become active?
  - When it learns
     i.e., when its predictions have to be adjusted
- Where do these predictions come from?
  - A model

#### How to build a model





#### **Computational Neuroimaging**





Iglesias et al., 2016



### Advantages of computational neuroimaging

- Computational neuroimaging permits us to:
  - Infer the computational mechanisms underlying brain function
  - Localize such mechanisms
  - Compare different models

#### **Explanatory Gap**





#### Biological

- Molecular
- Neurochemical



#### Cognitive

- Computational
- "cognitive/
- computational phenotyping"



#### Phenomenological

- Performance Accuracy
- Reaction Time
- Choices, preferences

Computational Models

#### **Three Levels of Inference**



- Computational Level: predictions, prediction errors
- Algorithmic Level: reinforcement learning, hierarchical Bayesian inference, predictive coding
- Implementational Level: Brain activity, neuromodulation



David Marr, 1982

#### 3 ingredients:

1. Experimental paradigm:

**2.** Computational model of learning:

$$(1)_{3}^{1} (1)_$$

**3.** Model-based fMRI analysis:



#### Outline

#### 1. Computational

2. Algorithmic

4. Application to Psychiatry

3. Implementational

#### **Example of a simple model**



**Rescorla-Wagner Learning:** 



#### **Example of a simple model**



**Rescorla-Wagner Learning:** 

#### **Learning Rate**

Belief Update 
$$\checkmark \Delta \mu^{(k)} \propto \alpha \delta$$



#### **Computational Variables**





#### **Example of a simple model**



**Rescorla-Wagner Learning:** 

#### **Learning Rate**

Belief Update 
$$\checkmark \Delta \mu^{(k)} \propto \alpha \delta$$



#### Example of a hierarchical model



Hierarchical Gaussian Filter :



#### **Bayesian Models**



#### **The Bayesian Brain**





- The brain is an inference machine
- Conceptualise beliefs as probability distributions
- Updates via Bayes' rule:

Prior **Sensory Data Belief** 

Posterior **Belief** 

**Evidence** 

#### **Hierarchical Gaussian Filter**



Hierarchy



#### Outline

#### 1. Computational

2. Algorithmic

4. Application to Psychiatry

3. Implementational

### **Perception (learning) via hierarchical interactions**





#### From perception to action





#### From perception to action





#### From perception to action to observation

Translational Neuromodeling Unit



#### Observing the observer



- The observer obtains input from the world via the sensory systems
- He/she has prior beliefs about the state of the world and how it is changing.
- Based on these prior beliefs and the sensory inputs, he/she makes predictions.

#### Daunizeau et al., PONE, 2011

#### Observing the observer

- The observer obtains input from the world via the sensory systems
- He/she has prior beliefs about the state of the world and how it is changing.
- Based on these prior beliefs and the sensory inputs, he/she makes predictions.

- As the experimenter, we want to infer on what the observer is thinking ...
- But all we can observe is his/her behaviour.
- We invert the observer's beliefs from his/her behaviour: computational model

Daunizeau et al., PONE, 2011



#### **Generative Model**



• The joint distribution for observations and perceptual model parameters takes the form:

### $p(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{\zeta}|\boldsymbol{u}) = p(\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \prod_{k=1} p(\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{u}),\boldsymbol{\zeta})$

K

$$u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K)}\}$$
$$y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(K)}\}$$
$$\lambda^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mu_1^{(1)}, \pi_1^{(k)}, \dots, \mu_1^{(K)}, \pi_1^{(K)}\}$$

Mathys et al., *Frontiers Human Neurosci* 2011 Mathys et al., *Frontiers Human Neurosci* 2014

#### Dark Room Experiment





## The hierarchical Gaussian filter (HGF): a computationally tractable model for individual learning under uncertainty



Level 3: Phasic volatility

 $p\left(x_{3}^{(k)}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(x_{3}^{(k-1)},\vartheta\right)$ 

Level 2: Tendency towards category 1

$$p\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(x_{2}^{(k-1)}, e^{(\kappa x_{3}^{(k-1)}+\omega)}\right)$$

Level 1: Stimulus category

$$p(x_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x_2}}$$



Mathys et al., Front Hum Neurosci, 2011

## The hierarchical Gaussian filter (HGF): a computationally tractable model for individual learning under uncertainty



Mathys et al., Front Hum Neurosci, 2011



The hierarchical Gaussian filter (HGF): a computationally tractable model for individual learning under uncertainty





Mathys et al., Front Hum Neurosci, 2011



#### **Hierarchical Learning**

#### Simulations: $\vartheta = 0.5$ , $\omega = -2.2$ , $\kappa = 1.4$



#### From perception to action





- In behavioural tasks, we observe actions *a*
- How do we use them to infer on beliefs  $\lambda$ ?
- Answer: we invert (estimate) a response model



- Options A, B and C have values:  $v_A = 8$ ,  $v_B = 4$ ,  $v_C = 2$
- We translate these values into action probabilities via a *Softmax* function:

$$p(a = A) = \frac{e^{\beta v_A}}{e^{\beta v_A} + e^{\beta v_B} + e^{\beta v_C}}$$

• Parameter  $\beta$  determines sensitivity to value differences:



#### All the necessary ingredients



- Perceptual model (updates based on prediction errors)
- Value function (inferred state to action value)
- Response model (action value to response probability)

#### **Generative Model**



• The joint distribution for observations and perceptual model parameters takes the form:

### $p(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{\zeta}|\boldsymbol{u}) = p(\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \prod_{k=1} p(\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\chi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{u}),\boldsymbol{\zeta})$

K

$$u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K)}\}$$
$$y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(K)}\}$$
$$\lambda^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mu_1^{(1)}, \pi_1^{(k)}, \dots, \mu_1^{(K)}, \pi_1^{(K)}\}$$

Mathys et al., *Frontiers Human Neurosci* 2011 Mathys et al., *Frontiers Human Neurosci* 2014

#### **Computational hierarchy**





#### Outline

#### 1. Computational

2. Algorithmic

4. Application to Psychiatry

3. Implementational

#### **Computational fMRI: The advantage**



The question event-related/block designs answer:

 Where in the brain do particular experimental conditions elicit BOLD responses?

The question model-based fMRI answers:

- How (i.e., by activation of which areas) does the brain implement a particular cognitive process?

It is able to do so because its regressors correspond to particular cognitive processes instead of experimental conditions.

### Computational fMRI analyses of neuromodulation





Iglesias et al., 2016



### Computational fMRI analyses of neuromodulation



Iglesias et al., Neuron, 2013



2. Cue-Outcome Contingency PE





#### **Application of the HGF: Sensory Learning**





Changes in cue strength (black), and posterior expectation of visual category (red)





Iglesias et al., Neuron, 2013

#### Application of the HGF: Representation of Translational Neuromodeling Unit precision-weighted PEs

1. Outcome PE





right VTADopamine

2. Probability PE



left basal forebrain
 Acetycholine

#### **Application of the HGF: Social Learning**



 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 80 \\ 100 \\ 120 \\ 140 \\ 160 \\ 18$ 





Diaconescu et al., SCAN, 2017

#### Representation of precision-weighted PEs Translational Neuromodeling Unit

4

#### **Dopamine System**:

low-level PEs about adviser fidelity



first fMRI study



second fMRI study



conjunction

#### **Cholinergic System**: high-level PEs about intentions



first fMRI study



second fMRI study



conjunction

Diaconescu et al., SCAN, 2017

### Computational hierarchy & its neural signature



#### Computational hierarchy & its neural signature

Translational Neuromodeling Unit



24/10/2018

#### Outline

#### 1. Computational

2. Algorithmic

4. Application to Psychiatry

3. Implementational



"My senses are sharpened."

"Sights and sounds possess a keenness that I have never experienced before." "I had to make sense - any sense - out of all these uncanny coincidences.

I did it by radically changing my conception of reality."

Kapur, 2003

Chadwick, 2009



"My senses are sharpened."

"Sights and sounds possess a keenness that I have never experienced before." "I had to make sense - any sense - out of all these uncanny coincidences.

I did it by radically changing my conception of reality."

"My senses are sharpened."

"I had to make sense - any sense - out of all these uncanny coincidences."

#### The Burden of Schizophrenia



Life-long disease





















**Tutorial** 



# HGFtutorial\_generate\_task.m



#### References



- 1. Daunizeau, J., den Ouden, H.E.M., Pessiglione, M., Kiebel, S.J., Stephan, K.E., and Friston, K.J. (2010). Observing the Observer (I): Meta-Bayesian Models of Learning and Decision-Making. PLoS One *5*.
- Diaconescu, A.O., Mathys, C., Weber, L.A.E., Kasper, L., Mauer, J., and Stephan, K.E. (2017). Hierarchical prediction errors in midbrain and septum during social learning. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 12, 618–634.
- Iglesias, S., Mathys, C., Brodersen, K.H., Kasper, L., Piccirelli, M., den Ouden, H.E.M., and Stephan, K.E. (2013). Hierarchical Prediction Errors in Midbrain and Basal Forebrain during Sensory Learning. Neuron 80, 519–530.
- 4. Iglesias, S., Tomiello, S., Schneebeli, M., and Stephan, K.E. (2016). Models of neuromodulation for computational psychiatry. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Cogn. Sci.
- 5. Lawson, R.P., Mathys, C., and Rees, G. (2017). Adults with autism overestimate the volatility of the sensory environment. Nat. Neurosci. *advance online publication*.
- 6. Mathys, C., Daunizeau, J., Friston, K.J., and Stephan, K.E. (2011). A Bayesian foundation for individual learning under uncertainty. Front Hum Neurosci *5*.
- 7. Mathys, C.D., Lomakina, E.I., Daunizeau, J., Iglesias, S., Brodersen, K.H., Friston, K.J., and Stephan, K.E. (2014). Uncertainty in perception and the Hierarchical Gaussian Filter. Front. Hum. Neurosci. *8*.
- 8. Powers, A.R., Mathys, C., and Corlett, P.R. (2017). Pavlovian conditioning–induced hallucinations result from overweighting of perceptual priors. Science *357*, 596–600.
- Stephan, K.E., Manjaly, Z.M., Mathys, C.D., Weber, L.A.E., Paliwal, S., Gard, T., Tittgemeyer, M., Fleming, S.M., Haker, H., Seth, A.K., et al. (2016). Allostatic Self-efficacy: A Metacognitive Theory of Dyshomeostasis-Induced Fatigue and Depression. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10.

#### How do we construct regressors that correspond to cognitive processes and use them in SPM?



1. Pass individual subject trial history into SPM:



Response y (orange=1 advice was taken), input u (green=1 advice was accurate)





#### How do we construct regressors that correspond to cognitive processes and use them in SPM?

- 2. Estimated subject-by-subject model parameters:
  - Model Inversion:

<u>runnning</u> model/param combination 4 of 546 Irregular trials: none Ignored trials: none Irregular trials: none

Optimizing...

Calculating the negative free energy...

```
Results:
```

```
mu2_0: 1.0665
sa2_0: 1.4966
mu3_0: 1
sa3_0: 1
ka: 0
om: -10
th: 1.0000e-18
p: [1.0665 1.4966 1 1 0 -10 1.0000e-18]
ptrans: [1.0665 0.4032 1 0 -22.3327 -10 -34.5388]
ze1: 0.8816
ze2: 48.0000
p: [0.8816 48.0000]
ptrans: [2.0073 3.8712]
```

Negative free energy F: -82.9603

#### How do we construct regressors that correspond to cognitive processes and use them in SPM?



3. Generate model-based time-series:





Adapted from O'Doherty et al., 2007

#### How do we construct regressors that correspond to cognitive processes and use them in SPM?

5. Construct your GLM:



Adapted from Behrens et al., 2010



- 6. First-level analysis:
  - Load your regressors:

reg1 =

[1x189 double] [1x189 double] [1×189 double]

🕂 mu1hat

<1×189 double> positive\_PE <1×189 double>

- 6. First-level analysis:
  - Open SPM: Specify first level analysis





Translational Neuromodeling Unit

- 6. First-level analysis:
  - Load Design matrix into Batch editor

Module List	Current Module: fMRI model specificatio	ən	
fMRI model specification	Help on: fMRI model specification	ata (E. M. 2011 (ann. alm (	
Contrast Manager DEF	Timing parameters	ata/F_AK_2011/spm_gmm/	
contrast manager DEI	Units for design	Seconds	
	Interscan interval	2.5	
	. Microtime resolution	16	
	. Microtime onset	8	
	Data & Design		
	. Subject/Session		
	Scans	1335 files	
	Conditions		
	Condition		
	Name	prediction_cue_adv	
	Onsets	189x1 double	
	Durations	0	
	Time Modulation	No Time Modulation	
	Parametric Modulations		
	Parameter		
	Name	muinat 190×1 double	
	Polynomial Expansion	189X1 double	
	Current Item Name	150 01081	
-	-	P. M. 1	
	E	dit value	
Name			
Condition Name			
A String is entered.			

- 6. First-level analysis:
  - Examine results:
    - PE



Extent threshold k = 100 voxels





