Seminar

Depression

Gin S Malhi, J John Mann

Lancet 2018; 392: 2299–312

Published Online November 2, 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(18)31948-2

Department of Academic Psychiatry, Sydney Medical School Northern, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia (Prof G S Malhi MD); CADE Clinic, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia (Prof G S Malhi); and Molecular Imaging and Neuropathology Division, Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA (Prof J J Mann MD)

Correspondence to: Prof Gin S Malhi, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2065, Australia. gin.malhi@sydney.edu.au

Major depression is a common illness that severely limits psychosocial functioning and diminishes quality of life. In 2008, WHO ranked major depression as the third cause of burden of disease worldwide and projected that the disease will rank first by 2030.¹ In practice, its detection, diagnosis, and management often pose challenges for clinicians because of its various presentations, unpredictable course and prognosis, and variable response to treatment.

Epidemiology

Prevalence

The 12-month prevalence of major depressive disorder varies considerably across countries but is approximately 6%, overall.² The lifetime risk of depression is three times higher (15-18%),3 meaning major depressive disorder is common, with almost one in five people experiencing one episode at some point in their lifetime. Hence, in primary care, one in ten patients, on average, presents with depressive symptoms,4 although the prevalence of depression increases in secondary care settings. Notably, the 12-month prevalence of major depressive disorder is similar when comparing highincome countries (5.5%) with low-income and middleincome countries (5.9%), indicating that major depressive disorder is neither a simple consequence of modern day lifestyle in developed countries, nor poverty.5,6 Furthermore, although social and cultural factors,⁷ such as socioeconomic status, can have a role in major depression, genomic and other underlying biological factors ultimately drive the occurrence of this condition.8 The most probable period for the onset of the first episode of major depression extends from midadolescence to mid-40s, but almost 40% experience their first episode of depression before age 20 years, with an average age of onset in the mid-20s (median 25 years [18-43]).9.10 Across the lifespan, depression is almost twice as common in women than in men and, in both genders, a peak in prevalence occurs in the second and third decades of life, with a subsequent, more modest peak, in the fifth and sixth decades.^{2,11-13} The difference in prevalence of depression between men and women is referred to as the gender gap in depression and is thought to be linked to sex differences in susceptibility (biological and psychological), and environmental factors that operate on both the microlevel and macrolevel.14

Course and prognosis

The onset of depression is usually gradual, but it can be abrupt sometimes, and depression's course throughout life varies considerably. For most patients, the course of illness is episodic, and they feel well between acute depressive episodes. However, the illness is inherently unpredictable and, therefore, the duration of episodes, the number of episodes over a lifetime, and the pattern in which they occur are variable. Major depressive disorder is a recurrent lifelong illness and so recovery is to describe patients that are no longer symptomatic and have regained their usual function following an episode of major depression. With treatment, episodes last about 3-6 months, and most patients recover within 12 months.¹⁵ Long-term stable recovery is more probable in community settings and among those patients seen by general physicians than in hospital settings.¹⁶ Longerterm (2–6 years), the proportion of people who recover is much less, dropping to approximately 60% at 2 years, 40% at 4 years, and 30% at 6 years with comorbid anxiety having a key role in limiting recovery.¹⁷ The likelihood of recurrence is high, the risk increases with every episode, and, overall, almost 80% of patients experience at least one further episode in their lifetime.^{18,19} The probability of recurrence increases with each episode and the outcome is less favourable with older age of onset.20 Furthermore, although more than half of those affected by a major depressive episode recover within 6 months, and nearly three-quarters within a year, a substantial proportion (up to 27%) of patients do not recover and go on to develop a chronic depressive illness, depending upon baseline patient characteristics and the setting within which they are managed.^{21,22}

somewhat of a misnomer. In practice, the term is used

Diagnosis

The two main classificatory diagnostic systems (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM],²³

Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched PubMed for studies published between Jan 1, 2010, and Jan 1, 2018, with the terms "depression", "depressive disorder", and "depressive disorder, major", with specifiers "therapy" and "drug therapy", as well as "antidepressive agents" and "psychotherapy". The search excluded articles on depression in the context of bipolar disorder, other psychiatric illnesses (such as schizophrenia), and medical illnesses. We restricted the search to English language publications and focused on publications from the past 5 years. We referred to older publications in the field, especially those regarded as seminal and those that have been highly cited. The search was updated in the periods March 12–16, 2018, and then again July 2–7, 2018, and the bibliographies of selected articles were also reviewed to retrieve publications deemed to be relevant to this Seminar.



Figure 1: Defining major depressive disorder

Key symptoms of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-5 for major depressive disorder. For a diagnosis of major depressive disorder, the individual needs to present with five or more of any of the symptoms nearly every day during the same 2-week period, provided at least one of these symptoms is a fundamental one. The clinical symptoms of major depressive disorder are usually accompanied by functional impairment. The greater the number and severity of symptoms (as opposed to particular symptoms), the greater the probability of the functional impairment they are likely to confer. The symptoms of depression can be grouped into emotional, neurovegetative, and neurocognitive domains. Importantly sleep, weight, and appetite are usually diminished in depression but can also be increased, and suicidal ideation, plans, or an attempt should be documented whenever depression is suspected.

> and International Classification of Diseases [ICD]²⁴) rely on the identification of a number of key symptoms (figure 1). Notably, none of the symptoms are pathognomonic of depression, and do feature in other psychiatric and medical illnesses. Therefore, the definition of depression as a disorder is based on symptoms forming a syndrome and causing functional impairment. Some symptoms are more specific to a depressive disorder, such as anhedonia (diminished ability to experience pleasure); diurnal variation (ie, symptoms of depression are worse during certain periods of waking hours); and intensified guilt about being ill. Other symptoms, such as neurovegetative symptoms, including fatigue, loss of appetite or weight, and insomnia, are very common in other medical illnesses.²⁵

> Both taxonomies, DSM and ICD, are widely used to diagnose major depressive disorder within hospital, outpatient, and community settings, but for research, DSM is the predominant classificatory system. In addition to DSM and ICD checklists, the severity of major depression can be quantified with rating scales. Therefore, screening tools have been developed to help

identify depression in various clinical settings, and some that rely on self-report can be used in a waiting room or online.²⁶ However, several screening limitations need to be considered. One limitation is the absence of hierarchy among the range of symptoms that span several domains (emotional, cognitive, and neurovegetative), and which symptoms, if any, warrant priority or greater weighting is unclear. The only symptoms given some primacy are those nominated as fundamental, whereas the remainder carry equal significance (figure 1). In practice, this absence of prioritisation means that very different clinical presentations can qualify as having a depressive syndrome of seemingly equivalent severity, even though the clinical significance of the different presentations can vary markedly.²⁷

In DSM-5, major depressive disorders are separated from bipolar disorders, with the key distinction that manic symptoms only occur in bipolar disorders.²⁸ Major depressive disorder is the principal form of depression and is characterised by recurrent depressive episodes. The diagnosis can be made after a single episode of depression that has lasted two weeks or longer. If episodes of depression do not resolve and last for extended periods of time, this pattern is described as chronic depression. If depressive symptoms are present (on most days) for at least 2 years without any periods of remission exceeding 2 months, the condition is termed persistent depressive disorder or dysthymia.

It is crucial to note that major depressive disorder is different from unhappiness or typical feelings of sadness. To qualify as major depression, an individual must present with five or more specified symptoms (figure 1) nearly every day during a 2-week period, and the symptoms are clearly different from the individual's previous general functioning. Furthermore, for the diagnosis of a depressive episode, depressed mood or anhedonia must be present.²³ When depressive symptoms are present but are insufficient in number or severity to be regarded as a syndrome, they are sometimes referred to as subthreshold depressive symptoms. These are important as they could serve as early indicators of a major depressive episode.

The symptoms of depression can be broadly grouped into emotional, neurovegetative, and cognitive symptoms, but because they also commonly occur in other psychiatric disorders and medical diseases, detection of a depressive syndrome can be difficult. Some depressive symptoms, such as diminished concentration and psychomotor agitation, are similar to those of mania, and so, when formulating a diagnosis of depression, the possibility of an emerging bipolar disorder warrants consideration.^{29,30} At the same time, it is important to ensure that the symptoms of depression cannot be explained by an alternative psychiatric diagnosis, such as an anxiety disorder, schizophrenia, or a medical illness, or the side-effects of a medication. Anxiety is

common in the context of depression, and almost twothirds of individuals with major depressive disorder have clinical anxiety.³¹ Anxiety symptoms often appear 1 year or 2 years ahead of the onset of major depression,³² and with increasing age, become a more pronounced feature of major depressive episodes. Therefore, anxiety can manifest both as comorbidity and as a predominant feature of major depressive disorder, sometimes termed anxious depression and described in DSM-5 as an anxious distress specifier (figure 2).³³ Of note, depressive symptoms overlap considerably with those of bereavement.³⁴ but if the symptoms of depression are severe and persist well beyond the acute grieving period, then consideration should be given to a separate diagnosis of major depressive disorder.³⁵ Alternatively, a diagnosis of adjustment disorder should be considered when the symptoms do not represent typical bereavement but have arisen in response to an identifiable stressor (within 3 months of the onset of the stressor), or the symptoms produce disproportionately marked distress that results in functional impairment but do not meet the criteria of a major depressive episode. This diagnosis can occur with either depressed mood, anxiety, or both.23 Importantly, stressors are common in both major depressive disorder and adjustment disorder, and therefore stressors are not useful for distinguishing these diagnoses. The key differences are severity and diagnostic criteria of a major depressive episode.

Specifiers and subtypes

In practice, it is useful to define the character of each depressive episode, particularly the current or most recent period of illness. This definition is achieved by use of specifiers, which define the pattern of illness, its clinical features (both signs and symptoms), severity, time of onset, and whether it has remitted (figure 2).^{4,35,36} Some of the clinical features generate putative subtypes

of major depressive disorder. For example, the specifier with melancholic features-ie, a diminished reactivity of affect and mood, a pervasive and distinct quality of depressed mood that is worse in the morning, along with anhedonia, guilt, and psychomotor disturbance-denotes a melancholic subtype. Such subtyping is sometimes helpful and it might have potential treatment implications.37 Melancholia is generally more responsive to pharmacotherapy and electroconvulsive therapy. Similarly, major depressive disorder with psychotic features (psychotic depression) often responds best to electroconvulsive therapy. especially when the psychotic features are moodcongruent-ie, feature depressive themes concerning death, loss, illness, and punishment.^{38,39} Sometimes, alongside psychotic features, patients can have marked psychomotor disturbance⁴⁰ and other symptoms that reflect catatonia.41 These subtypes of major depressive disorder are uncommon and most presentations of depression in the community involve symptoms of anxiety,42 described as anxious distress.43 Such presentations are less responsive to antidepressants, even though antidepressants are often used to treat anxiety disorders, suggesting that admixtures of anxiety and depressive symptoms probably reflect additional underlying psychological factors, such as those pertaining to an individual's personality. Characterising depression in this manner is often helpful, and the use of specifiers to describe depressive episodes in greater detail is good practice that should be routine and adopted more widely.

Detection and screening

Depression can manifest in many forms with different combinations of symptoms, which makes its detection more difficult, especially in the context of other illnesses. This mix of symptoms could also explain why depression is often missed or misdiagnosed in primary



Figure 2: Major depressive disorder specifiers

Episodes of major depression can be described in greater depth by specifiers (outlined in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5) that provide additional information regarding the pattern of the illness and its clinical features. Specifiers can also indicate the severity of the episode, when it first emerged (onset), and whether it has remitted (status). For example, in clinical practice, a typical episode of depression can be described as suffering from a recurrence of depression that is moderately severe with melancholic features and has partly remitted in response to initial treatment.

care.27 Greater awareness of depression increases its successful diagnosis, but screening for depressive illness at a population level has been problematic, which makes its overall detection and diagnosis more difficult.^{26,44} A substantial proportion of depression probably goes undetected and undiagnosed, and hence published statistics do not fully reflect the burden of the illness. The reasons for this lack of detection are complex and vary across cultures and different health systems, and alongside failures in detection and diagnosis, stigma is an important factor that has been difficult to quantify.45 Case-finding tools that can be used to identify depression are popular among clinicians, such as the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), which comes in three forms, all of which are brief and generally acceptable to patients.⁴⁶ Such tools can usefully guide detection and the assessment of severity, but it is important that clinicians also assess contextual factors and general functioning, and do not rely solely on questionnaires. Given the prevalence of depression in primary care, routinely asking all patients about mood, interest, and anhedonia since the last visit is essential,47 and when more detailed screening is needed, the burden of administering questionnaires can be limited by the use of computerised adaptive testing methods.48 In addition to enhancing detection through screening, the diagnosis and treatment of major depression can be improved through educational programmes that have great effect on suicide prevention methods.49 However, as shown by a study in Gotland, Sweden, the turnover of doctors due to a 2-year term of service contributed to the requirement for a refresher programme on depression.⁵⁰ Moreover, attrition in knowledge occurs because once no longer engaged in an educational programme, the general practitioner's attention shifts to other medical conditions. Therefore, sustaining change in practice requires ongoing education.

Pathology

Understanding of the pathophysiology of major depressive disorder has progressed considerably, but no single model or mechanism can satisfactorily explain all aspects of the disease. Different causes or pathophysiology might underlie episodes in different patients, or even different episodes in the same patient at different times. Psychosocial stressors and biological stressors (eg, post-partum period) can result in different pathogenesis and respond preferentially to different interventions. Investigations into the neurobiology of depression have also involved extensive animal research, but extrapolation from animal models of depression and the translation of findings from basic science into clinical practice has proven difficult.51 Therefore, to understand the pathophysiology of major depressive disorder, focusing on mechanisms informed directly by clinical studies and examining both

biological and psychosocial factors can be more useful, noting that contributions from these factors are variable.

The monoamine hypothesis

The observation, in mid-20th century, that the antihypertensive reserpine could trigger major depression and reduce the amount of monoamines, caused interest in the potential role of monoamine neurotransmitters (serotonin, noradrenaline, and dopamine) in the pathogenesis of major depressive disorder. The monoamine theory of major depressive disorder was supported by findings that tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) enhanced monoamine neurotransmission by different mechanisms, suggesting that this theory explained how antidepressants work (appendix).⁵² This model has endured, partly because of ongoing corroborative findings from studies that have examined the neurotransmitters and their metabolites, both in vivo and post mortem. The model also endured because other, more selective medications, such as autoreceptor antagonists (eg, mirtazapine for the adrenergic system) and serotonin agonists (eg, gepirone), are clinically effective antidepressants.53 However, this model does not explain the notable variability in the clinical presentation of major depressive episodes, even within the same patient, and why some patients respond to one type of antidepressant and others do not. Importantly, this model does not explain why antidepressants take weeks to work.54

Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis changes

The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis has been the focus of depression research for many decades.55-57 One of the most consistent biological findings in more severe depression with melancholic features, and associated with changes in the HPA axis, is the increased amount of plasma cortisol. This biological difference is due to a combination of excessive stress-related cortisol release and impaired glucocorticoid receptor-mediated feedback inhibition. Notably, HPA axis changes are also associated with impaired cognitive function,58 and a failure of HPA axis normalisation with treatment is associated with poor clinical response and high relapse.⁵⁹ Despite these insights, successful translation of this knowledge into clinically effective treatments has not occurred, and treatments that modify HPA axis function, such as glucocorticoid receptor antagonists, have not worked in clinical trials.60-62

Inflammation

Peripheral cytokine concentrations have been linked to brain function, wellbeing, and cognition.⁶³ Peripheral cytokines can act directly on neurons and supporting cells, such as astrocytes and microglia, after traversing the blood–brain barrier, or via signals mediated by

See Online for appendix

afferent pathways, such as those in the vagus nerve.⁶⁴ These mechanisms could explain why individuals with autoimmune diseases and severe infections are more likely to have depression, and why cytokines administered therapeutically, such as interferon gamma and interleukin 2, trigger depression. The role of inflammation in the causation and exacerbation of depression is further supported by the finding that increased amount of interleukin 6 in childhood enhances the risk of developing depression later in life, and by the evidence of microglial activation and neuroinflammation found in the brains of patients with depression examined post mortem.⁶⁵ These insights have prompted the examination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of major depressive disorder.⁶⁶

Neuroplasticity and neurogenesis

One of the most important discoveries in this century has been the identification, in the adult brain, of pluripotent stem cells from which new neurons can be generated, a process termed neurogenesis (appendix). The growth and adaptability at a neuronal level has been more broadly termed neuroplasticity, and it is possibly this neuroplasticity at a cellular level that is altered by inflammation and HPA axis dysfunction, both caused by environmental stress.⁶⁷ The process of neurogenesis is controlled by regulatory proteins, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which is diminished in patients with major depressive disorder. Even more important, perhaps, is the fact that reduced amounts of BDNF in people with depression can be restored with antidepressant therapies, either pharmacotherapy or psychological interventions.68 In animal studies, limiting neurogenesis prevents antidepressant action and has been shown to result in depressionlike symptoms, especially in stressful situations. Therefore, neurogenesis has been suggested to facilitate resilience against stress, which could be the basis of antidepressant clinical effects.⁶⁹ Post-mortem studies of patients with depression show a deficit of granule neurons in the dentate gyrus of untreated individuals, compared with non-depressed and treated groups. Patients treated for depression have substantially more dividing neuronal progenitor cells compared with an untreated depression group, and even compared with a non-depressed group.⁷⁰ These findings are consistent with mouse studies showing that antidepressants can work by increasing neurogenesis in the adult brain.

Structural and functional brain changes

Advances in technology and computing over the past quarter of a century have had an immense impact on our understanding of brain structure and function, but meaningful insights have only begun to emerge in the past decade, as it became possible to scan larger numbers of patients and reliably combine neuroimaging data. Structural studies in patients with depression have consistently found that hippocampal volume is smaller in major depression compared with people without depression,⁷¹ and some studies have related the degree of volume loss to duration of untreated lifetime depression.^{72,73} Post-mortem studies have shown that dentate gyrus volume in untreated patients with depression is about half of that of both a non-depressed comparison group and a group of patients with depression who received treatment.^{74,75} Whether the smaller hippocampus can be reversed with treatment, and whether it is required for an antidepressant response, is yet to be shown in clinical studies.

Functional neuroimaging provides information about brain networks involved in key processes, such as emotion regulation, rumination, impaired reward pathways related to anhedonia, and self-awareness. Studies examining these networks in depressive disorders have found that, generally, the amygdala has increased activity and connectivity, and other structures, such as the subgenual anterior cingulate, are hyperactive, but that the insula and dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex are hypoactive, in individuals with depression.76,77 However, the brain changes that have been identified in major depression are related to a highly heterogeneous clinical presentation and, therefore, are also highly variable, making it difficult to replicate results from study to study.^{78–80} Different types of treatment, such as medication, psychotherapies, and stimulation therapies, have different effects, and research linking pre-existing brain abnormalities to choice of optimal treatment is an area of current research.

Genes

Twin and adoption studies have shown that major depressive disorder is moderately heritable.⁸¹ First degree relatives of patients with major depression have a three times increase in their risk of developing major depressive disorder compared with those who do not have first degree relatives with a diagnosis of major depression. Unfortunately, reliable identification of the genes responsible has proven difficult. So far, genomewide association studies (GWAS) have identified multiple genes, each with a small effect, and until 2018, few gene hits had been replicated.82 However, current GWAS have begun to successfully identify risk variants and have shown replicable findings that might begin to inform the pathophysiology of major depressive disorder.82-84 Studies that have examined more homogeneous cases with severe illness also appear promising and have identified loci contributing to risk of major depressive disorder.85 Given the variability of findings, in addition to genomic investigations, epigenetic factors are now being examined.

Environmental milieu

The potential role of life events in precipitating and possibly causing major depressive disorder has long

been recognised.86,87 For example, early studies examined the impact of stressful life events closely juxtaposed to episodes of major depression, such as preceding its onset by up to a year.88,89 These stressful life events in adults include life threatening or chronic illness, financial difficulties, loss of employment, separation, bereavement, and being subjected to violence. The associations between stressful life events and depression have been found to be robust,^{90,91} though a subgroup of patients seems vulnerable to the effects of stressful life events and another group seems relatively resilient, possibly reflecting biological predispositions. A second approach has examined childhood factors, such as maltreatment including abuse, loss, and neglect, that appear to be associated with a vulnerability to develop major depression during adulthood when confronted with stressful life events.92 By stratifying adversity, such studies have identified at least two types of molecular variants that predispose individuals to major depressive disorder: molecules whose effects depend on adversity and molecules whose effects are present in all cases, irrespective of adversity.93 These studies have identified both pure epigenetic mechanisms and gene-environment interactions. Animal and clinical studies have

Goal The main objective of treatment is the complete remission of depression with full functional recovery and the development of resilience General measures Taper and cease any drugs that can potentially lower mood Institute sleep hygiene and address substance misuse if relevant • Implement appropriate lifestyle changes (eg, smoking cessation, adopt regular exercise, and achieve a healthy diet) Interventions Formulation-based Generic Psychosocial Psychological therapy Pharmacotherapy Electroconvulsive Cognitive behavioural Psychoeducation First line therapy - family, friends, and therapy • SSRIs, NaSSAs, Unilateral Interpersonal therapy NDRIs, or SNRIs • Right unilateral caregivers • Ultrabrief pulse-· Low intensity interventions Acceptance and Melatonin agonist, commitment therapy width unilateral (eq. internet-based education) serotonin modulator Mindfulness-based Bilateral Formal support groups Second line Employment cognitive therapy Tricyclic antidepressants • Bitemporal Housing • MAOIs Bifrontal

Strategies

Combine pharmacotherapy and psychological therapy
 Increase dose of antidepressant medication

Augment antidepressant medication with lithium or antipsychotic medication, or L-triiodothyronine
 Combine antidepressants

· combine aneidepressanes

Figure 3: Management of major depressive disorder

General measures: before instituting any intervention, factors that can worsen depression and general measures that can improve mood and make management less complicated, such as exercise and withdrawal of medications and substances known to exacerbate depression, should be reviewed and instituted when necessary. Interventions: four broad categories of interventions can be used to treat major depressive disorder—generic psychosocial interventions, formulation-based interventions of psychological therapy, pharmacotherapy, and electroconvulsive therapy. Strategies: in instances where treatments are ineffective or only partially effective, several strategies can be employed, combining different types of treatment or making individual treatments more effective. SSRIs=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. NaSSAs=noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant. NDRIs=norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors. SNRIs=serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors.

linked early childhood trauma to later life depression via changes in the HPA axis, particularly glucocorticoid receptor hypofunction (appendix).⁶¹ Specifically, early exposure to childhood adversity results in DNA methylation of key sites in the glucocorticoid receptor gene, reducing its expression.⁹⁴ Thus, exposure to emotional neglect, or sexual and physical abuse, has an effect on the likelihood, severity, and chronicity of major depression (appendix).^{86,95}

Epigenetics (gene-environment interactions)

In the past decade, an exciting discovery is that the environment can directly impact the interpretation of genetic information, and that some genes are activated by environmental factors. This process has been described as the gene-environment interaction and it is determined by epigenetic mechanisms (appendix).96 Research examining this phenomenon has uncovered potentially new pathways and mechanisms by which environmental factors might have a role in the modification of brain neurobiology, altering, for example, neuronal plasticity.97,98 However, this new field faces considerable challenges, and although these discoveries are exciting and have stimulated further research in genetics, studies developing therapeutic approaches that can modify pathogenic epigenetic effects are needed before the potential exists for clinical interventions to build on these observations.93,99

Management of major depressive disorder

When treating a depressive episode, the initial objective is the complete remission of depressive symptoms and broadly speaking, this objective can usually be achieved by use of psychological therapy, pharmacotherapy, or both.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰² However, before embarking on a specific treatment pathway, it is important to stop the administration of drugs that can potentially lower mood, address any substance misuse, and, when possible, use general measures such as sleep hygiene, regular exercise, and healthy diet.4,35 For mild cases of major depressive disorder, psychological treatment alone can suffice and an evidence-based psychotherapy, such as cognitive behavioural therapy, should be offered first. This therapy can also be used to treat depression of moderate severity, but in most cases medication is likely to be needed, and a combination of pharmacotherapy and psychological treatment is preferable. In cases of severe major depressive disorder, medication should be considered as first-line treatment, and electroconvulsive therapy is an option for those patients who do not respond to medication.

Psychological therapies

Several psychotherapies are available for major depressive disorder.^{35,101,102} The most popular and effective psychotherapies are shown in figure 3. Each style of therapy draws on different conceptual designs which

are used to build a framework of treatment, and each therapy has slightly different targets in mind.103,104 Cognitive behavioural therapy is the most widely available and best tested psychotherapy, which teaches patients with major depressive disorder how to identify negative patterns of thinking that contribute to their depressed feelings. This type of psychotherapy provides techniques on how to address these negative thoughts and, when possible, replace them with healthier, positive ideas.¹⁰⁵ Interpersonal therapy differs from cognitive behavioural therapy, because it focuses predominantly on difficulties within relationships. particularly interpersonal conflict and problems in social interactions.¹⁰⁶ Overall, psychotherapies are effective in treating major depressive disorder, but it has been difficult to show differences between them.¹⁰⁷ The reason for this difficulty, according to one viewpoint prevalent in this field of study, is that the elements that determine therapeutic benefit are common to all psychotherapies and, therefore, distinguishing the therapies in terms of treatment effect is not possible. These common elements are related to the therapist and the therapeutic relationship, and involve components such as warmth, positive regard, and a genuine sense of care.108

An alternative view is that each of the psychotherapies has specific, and somewhat unique, therapeutic factors, and that they affect change via distinct pathways.109 Therefore, this idea argues that to determine differences between therapies, far more sophisticated tools and much larger studies than those that have been done are needed. In patients with mild to moderate depression, psychotherapies seem to be as effective as pharmacotherapy. This effectiveness is not present in severe depression, because patients are too ill to engage with psychotherapy.¹¹⁰ The longer-term effects of some psychotherapies, such as cognitive behavioural therapy, have also been shown to persist for a year or more after treatment, whereas antidepressant medication only works while it is being taken. The preference expressed by patients for psychological interventions, their effectiveness in combination with antidepressants, and their comparative efficacy and safety in relation to medications suggest that combination of the treatment methods might be the optimal strategy for managing major depressive disorder.¹¹¹ Outcomes could be further enhanced as greater understanding of the mechanisms of psychological treatments is achieved and models are developed that provide greater explanatory specificity.¹¹² However, in practice, the main limitations of psychotherapy are lack of availability because very few trained therapists are available and treatment is expensive.¹¹³ To overcome these issues, alternative methods for treatment delivery have been explored, such as providing psychotherapy to groups of patients at a time, or individually, but over the telephone or via the internet.114,115

Pharmacotherapy

The pharmacotherapy for major depressive disorder has been founded on enhancement of monoaminergic neurotransmission.¹¹⁶ But newer antidepressants target other brain systems, like the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor, melatonin, or gamma-aminobutyric acid (appendix).

Antidepressant actions

The precise mechanisms by which antidepressants improve mood remains unknown, but most antidepressants acting on monoaminergic neurotransmission produce initial effects within the synapse, which then impact intracellular signalling and second messenger pathways.⁵⁴ These pathways culminate in changes in gene expression, neurogenesis, and synaptic plasticity, and, ultimately, these adaptive changes lead to therapeutic benefit.¹¹⁷ The pharmacological effects of antidepressants are diverse and complicated, and the grouping of antidepressants into classes based on their principal pharmacological action is overly simplistic, but it remains useful in practice, when the clinical effects of antidepressants are broad and overlapping (figure 4).

Effectiveness of antidepressants

Trials examining the potency of antidepressant drugs have traditionally focused on efficacy, and in clinical contexts have usually assessed this potency somewhat crudely, seeking a 50% reduction in symptoms.³⁵ Some of the earliest developed antidepressants, such as the tricyclics and MAOIs, remain among the most efficacious drugs available, but are in minimal use today.¹¹⁸ In most settings, and in particular when first commencing treatment, these medications have been displaced by newer drugs with more pharmacologically selective actions and, consequently, fewer side-effects.¹¹⁹ Therefore, over the last quarter of a century, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) have become the first-line antidepressant medication class, despite only moderate efficacy that can take weeks to produce a measurable benefit (figure 3). Furthermore, SSRIs can also produce significant side-effects that patients do not tolerate, including sexual dysfunction, weight gain, nausea, and headaches.¹²⁰

In a network meta-analysis that compared efficacy and acceptability of antidepressant medications in the acute treatment of major depressive disorder,¹²¹ all 21 medications, which included the two WHO recommended essential antidepressants, amitriptyline and clomipramine, showed greater efficacy than placebo, with amitriptyline and some of the dual-acting drugs (eg, mirtazapine, duloxetine, and venlafaxine) at the top of the list. In terms of acceptability, only agomelatine and fluoxetine were more tolerable than placebo, whereas most antidepressants were on par, except clomipramine, which was more poorly tolerated than placebo. The study also assessed head-to-head



Figure 4: Pharmacotherapy of major depressive disorder: antidepressant actions at the synapse

All available antidepressants act on presynaptic and postsynaptic receptors, and neurotransmitter transporters. Consequently, the concentration of neurotransmitters within the synapse or within the presynaptic neuron is altered. These changes lead to signal transduction and secondary cell signalling within the postsynaptic neuron, eventually impacting transcription processes within the nucleus that lead to the development of new enzymes and proteins. Ultimately, antidepressants are thought to remodel neural networks by facilitating neurogenesis. The table shows the specific receptor interactions of various antidepressant molecules and their effects on monoamine transporter systems. These actions are used to group antidepressants into classes, although considerable overlap in the actions of different medications occurs and downstream processes probably converge. 5-HT=serotonin. R=receptor. T=transporter. NA=noradrenaline. HI=histamine. DA=dopamine. MAO=monoamine oxidase. mBDNF=mature brain-derived neurotrophic factor. TCAs=tricyclic antidepressants. NDRIs=noradrenaline dopamine reuptake inhibitors. SSRIs=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. SNRIs=serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors. Adapated from Willner et al.⁵⁴ by permission of Elsevier.

comparisons, and many of the same drugs did better than other antidepressants (eg, amitriptyline, mirtazapine, venlafaxine, paroxetine, and vortioxetine); however, analyses on aggregated data cannot identify effects at the individual level, and therefore, in practice, antidepressant prescription remains a matter of clinical judgment. Nevertheless, the finding that antidepressants are an effective treatment for major depressive disorder, despite high placebo responses, is reassuring. Furthermore, some medications are probably well suited, both in terms of efficacy and tolerability, to some types of depression, and can be tailored accordingly. Two examples are administering sedative antidepressants for depression with anxiety or insomnia, and activating antidepressants for depression with psychomotor retardation. Although reliance solely on the use of depressive symptomatology to select which antidepressant will work best is also not yet feasible (figure 3), combining this knowledge with clinical acumen does inform and improve management.

Managing suboptimal response

Despite the variety of therapies available, a substantial proportion of patients do not respond adequately to the various treatments prescribed, having either a partial

response or no response at all.^{34,122} Within the framework of a randomised trial, the sequenced treatment alternatives to relieve depression (also known as STAR*D)^{123,124} study examined many standardised steps in the management of major depressive disorder, using medications and cognitive therapy within both primary care and psychiatric settings. The study sought to examine the more clinically meaningful goal of remission, as opposed to response, and found that cumulative remission after four treatment steps was still only two-thirds (67%). The remission at each of the steps was 36.8%, 30.6%, 13.7%, and 13%. Although disappointing in comparison with results from clinical trials, the findings reflected real-world clinical experience, since patients often require a series of treatments and the use of several strategies to achieve remission. Such suboptimal response is often subsumed under the broad descriptor so-called treatment-resistant depression-a problematic term that has proven difficult to define, because of the heterogeneity of depression and the lack of a standard, algorithmic approach to treatment.¹²⁵ The term is also misleading because it suggests that the illness itself is somehow resistant to treatment, when in fact many factors contribute to non-response, and these relate largely to how treatment is provided, and in what context.¹²⁶ For example, alongside depression, psychiatric and medical comorbidities often complicate illness management and reduce the likelihood of responsiveness. Similarly, patient-related factors, such as willingness to pursue treatment as prescribed, personality, and age contribute to whether a course of treatment is likely to be successful. Generally, two-thirds of patients with depression will not remit with initial antidepressant treatment and, therefore, require careful reappraisal.435 In addition to exploring the factors already outlined, the diagnosis of depression should be carefully reviewed to exclude an alternative explanation, such as bipolar disorder or a personality disorder.

The treatments that can be used to tackle nonresponse are much the same as the options available when initiating treatment, but additional methods can be used with the aim of increasing efficacy.¹²⁷ In general, the addition of psychological therapy to pharmacotherapy or vice versa has been found to be helpful.¹²⁸ Psychotherapeutic engagement enhances medication compliance, and difficulties with pharmacotherapy are likely to become evident earlier. To increase the efficacy of antidepressant medication, especially in instances where it might not be reaching its target, one simple strategy is to increase the dose of the antidepressant.¹²⁹ However, this result is not an increase of efficacy per se, and no clinically significant benefit has been found when dose escalation has been tested following initial non-response to standard-dose pharmacotherapy.¹³⁰ Nevertheless, an increase in dose could overcome pharmacokinetic limitations. For example, some drugs are metabolised quickly and can require higher oral doses to achieve necessary plasma concentrations. Furthermore, in some instances, dose escalation can increase the bioavailability of medication and enhance its receptor binding.¹³¹ This strategy is particularly useful for drugs that have a broad therapeutic range (eg, amitriptyline and venlafaxine).^{132,133}

Augmentation is another strategy to overcome nonresponse. This strategy involves adding a drug that enhances the antidepressant effects of the medication already being prescribed. The most common strategy, and one that is effective in augmenting the actions of almost all antidepressants, is adding lithium.¹³⁴ Once a steady plasma concentration has been achieved, the effect of lithium augmentation is usually evident between 1 week to 10 days. The effective dose of lithium for augmentation is equivalent to that used for maintenance therapy of bipolar disorder (plasma concentrations of 0.6-0.8 mmol/L), although lower doses and plasma concentrations can also be effective.135 Once lithium augmentation has produced a therapeutic response, the combination should be maintained as the withdrawal of either drug (antidepressant or lithium) is likely to result in relapse.134

Even though lithium augmentation is the most widely researched strategy, augmentation with atypical antipsychotics has become popular.^{136,137} This increase in popularity is because the atypical antipsychotics commonly used as augmentation strategies (quetiapine and olanzapine) are both sedating and anxiolytic, even in small doses.¹³⁸ Therefore, when prescribed alongside antidepressants, these atypical antipsychotics immediately aid sleep and anxiety, and counter some of the acute side-effects of antidepressants until the antidepressant becomes effective. It is important to emphasise that the use of atypical antipsychotics is not widely indicated, and much of the evidence for this strategy is empirical.¹³⁹ However, emerging evidence from clinical trials supports the use of atypical antipsychotics for augmentation while remaining aware of potential treatment-related side-effects.¹³⁷ Furthermore, whether this strategy truly augments the actions of antidepressants is unknown and, because of the sideeffects associated with these drugs when prescribed long-term, the addition of an atypical antipsychotic to an antidepressant should only be considered a short-term strategy. In some instances of poor response, triiodothyronine (T3) has been used to augment the effects of antidepressants to good effect, 140,141 and stimulants have also been used.142

When patients do not respond to increased dose, augmentation, or a combination of both strategies, combinations of antidepressants can be prescribed if a pharmacological synergy between medications exists because of their therapeutic profiles (eg, combining venlafaxine with mirtazapine).^{143,144} Nevertheless, the benefits of such strategies are largely untested. Another alternative is to switch to a new antidepressant, usually with a different mechanism of action.¹⁴⁵ However, switching to a different antidepressant risks losing any benefit the current medication regimen has attained, and usually this strategy takes longer to implement than increasing the dose of an antidepressant already in place, or augmenting its actions. Alongside psychological and pharmacological strategies, when tackling poor response, electroconvulsive therapy is a useful alternative, especially if the depression has melancholic or psychotic features. Psychotic depression should be treated from the outset with both an antidepressant and an antipsychotic medication, unless the decision is to immediately use electroconvulsive therapies.¹⁴⁶

Finally, all these strategies require careful and frequent monitoring from the outset to help compliance and maximise response. Non-response is sometimes an indication that the diagnosis is incorrect, and reevaluation of both diagnosis and the strategies used is necessary before trying more sophisticated treatments.

Special populations

The manifestations and management of depression are affected by life stage and special circumstances, such as during the perinatal period. For children and adolescents, the clinical presentation of depression and response to treatment can differ from adulthood, because of developmental differences in biology and psychophysiology in children and adolescents, and limited language and experience, which means they are likely to express their distress differently.147,148 Comorbid medical problems, cognitive compromise, and a greater causal role for vascular disease are more pronounced with increasing age, altering the clinical presentation and impacting management.^{149,150} We discuss the considerations about these age groups, along with major depression occurring in the perinatal period,^{151,152} in the appendix. In practice, these episodes of depression more commonly require treatment by a psychiatrist.

Future directions

The fact that major depression affects many people, and has a huge impact on the individuals and imposes an immense economic burden, means that greater efforts are required to improve its diagnosis and management. This need applies especially to low-income and middleincome countries, where health-care resources are limited at every level. The heterogeneity of the illness, the stigma surrounding mental illness, and a collective failure to identify more effective treatments are key challenges. However, the primary problem is that our knowledge of the aetiopathogenesis and pathophysiology of major depressive disorder is incomplete and has (so far) not provided a sufficient understanding to develop more effective treatments. Prevention, early intervention, and effective management are all crucial goals, but meaningful advances are only probable if basic causal mechanisms can be identified. In clinical practice, the goal of treatment must shift from response to remission, and, in the future, we should seek to achieve recovery and the development of resilience. Regarding these objectives, we seek earlier detection and diagnosis, and prompt treatment of depression when it first emerges. Major depression is fundamentally an illness of the brain, and this disorder is likely to be preventable, and even curable, once its aetiopathogenesis is fully known. To make that happen, substantive and long-term investment is required for research that makes full use of recent advances in neuroscience, genomics, and technology.

Contributors

GSM and JJM planned, wrote, and edited this Seminar, and take joint responsibility for its contents.

Declaration of interests

GSM has received grant or research support from Australian Rotary Health, the National Health and Medical Research Council, New South Wales Ministry of Health, Ramsay Health, The University of Sydney, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly & Co, Organon, Pfizer, Servier, and Wyeth; has been a speaker for AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly & Co, Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, Pfizer, Ranbaxy, Servier, and Wyeth; and has been a consultant for AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly & Co, Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, and Servier. JJM has received grant support from the National Institute of Mental Health, and royalties from the New York State Research Foundation for Mental Hygiene for commercial use of the Colombia Suicide Severity Rating Scale.

Acknowledgments

We thank Tim Outhred, Lauren Irwin, and Grace Morris for their assistance with literature searches, development of figures, and compilation of the Seminar.

References

- WHO. The global burden of disease: 2004 update. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2008.
- 2 Kessler RC, Bromet EJ. The epidemiology of depression across cultures. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2013; **34**: 119–38.
- 3 Bromet E, Andrade LH, Hwang I, et al. Cross-national epidemiology of DSM-IV major depressive episode. BMC Med 2011; 9: 90.
- 4 Malhi GS, Outhred T, Hamilton A, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders: major depression summary. *Med J Aust* 2018; 208: 175–80.
- 5 Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, revalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015; 386: 743–800.
- 6 Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 2163–96.
- ⁷ De Aquino JP, Londono A, Carvalho AF. An update on the epidemiology of major depressive disorder across cultures. In: Kim Y-K, ed. Understanding depression. Singapore: Springer, 2018: 309–15.
- 8 Heim C, Binder EB. Current research trends in early life stress and depression: review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene-environment interactions, and epigenetics. *Exp Neurol* 2012; 233: 102–11.
- Nihalani N, Simionescu M, Dunlop BW. Depression: phenomenology, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. In: Schwartz TL, Petersen T, eds. Depression: treatment strategies and management. Boca Raton, Florida, FL: CRC Press, 2016: 1–22.

- 10 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikingas KR, Walters EE. How common are common mental disorders? Evidence that lifetime prevalence rates are doubled by prospective versus retrospective ascertainment. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62: 593–602.
- 11 Hirschfeld R. The epidemiology of depression and the evolution of treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2012; **73** (suppl 1): 5–9.
- 12 Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor A, et al. How common are common mental disorders? Evidence that lifetime prevalence rates are doubled by prospective versus retrospective ascertainment. *Psychol Med* 2010; 40: 899–909.
- 13 WHO. Depression and other common mental disorders: global health estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.
- 14 Kuehner C. Why is depression more common among women than among men? *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; **4**: 146–58.
- 15 Keller MB, Lavori PW, Mueller TI, et al. Time to recovery, chronicity, and levels of psychopathology in major depression: a 5-year prospective follow-up of 431 subjects. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992; 49: 809–16.
- 16 Steinert C, Hofmann M, Kruse J, Leichsenring F. The prospective long-term course of adult depression in general practice and the community. A systematic literature review. J Affect Disord 2014; 152: 65–75.
- 17 Verduijn J, Verhoeven JE, Milaneschi Y, et al. Reconsidering the prognosis of major depressive disorder across diagnostic boundaries: full recovery is the exception rather than the rule. *BMC Med* 2017, 15: 215.
- 18 Penninx BWJH, Nolen WA, Lamers F, et al. Two-year course of depressive and anxiety disorders: results from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA). J Affect Disord 2011; 133: 76–85.
- 19 Spijker J, de Graaf R, Bijl RV, Beekman ATF, Ormel J, Nolen WA. Duration of major depressive episodes in the general population: results from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). Br J Psychiatry 2002; 181: 208–13.
- 20 Burcusa SL, Iacono WG. Risk for recurrence in depression. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2007; **27**: 959–85.
- 21 Boschloo L, Schoevers RA, Beekman ATF, Smit JH, Van Hemert AM, Penninx BWJH. The four-year cour se of major depressive disorder: the role of staging and risk factor determination. *Psychother Psychosom* 2014; 83: 279–88.
- 22 Angst J, Gamma A, Rössler W, Ajdacic V, Klein DN. Long-term depression versus episodic major depression: results from the prospective Zurich study of a community sample. J Affect Disord 2009; 115: 112–21.
- 23 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5). Arlington, VA, USA: American Psychiatric Publishing, 2013.
- 24 WHO. ICD-11 for for mortality and morbidity statistics, 2018 version. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2018. https://icd. who.int/browse11/l-m/en (accessed July 7, 2018).
- 25 Malhi GS, Coulston CM, Fritz K, et al. Unlocking the diagnosis of depression in primary care: which key symptoms are GPs using to determine diagnosis and severity. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2014; 48: 542–47.
- 26 Reynolds CF, Frank E. US preventive services task force recommendation statement on screening for depression in adults: not good enough. JAMA Psychiatry 2016; 73: 189–90.
- 27 Mitchell AJ, Vaze A, Rao S. Clinical diagnosis of depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2009; **374**: 609–19.
- 28 Malhi GS, Outhred T, Morris G, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders: bipolar disorder summary. *Med J Aust* 2018; 208: 219–25.
- 29 Fritz K, Russell AMT, Allwang C, Kuiper S, Lampe L, Malhi GS. Is a delay in the diagnosis of bipolar disorder inevitable? *Bipolar Disord* 2017; 19: 396–400.
- 30 Grande I, Berk M, Birmaher B, Vieta E. Bipolar disorder. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 1561–72.
- 31 Goldberg D, Fawcett J. The importance of anxiety in both major depression and bipolar disorder. *Depress Anxiety* 2012; 29: 471–78.
- 32 Malhi GS, Parker GB, Gladstone G, Wilhelm K, Mitchell PB. Recognizing the anxious face of depression. J Nerv Ment Dis 2002; 190: 366–73.

- 33 Gaspersz R, Lamers F, Kent JM, et al. Longitudinal predictive validity of the DSM-5 anxious distress specifier for clinical outcomes in a large cohort of patients with major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2017; 78: 207–13.
- 34 Lundorff M, Holmgren H, Zachariae R, Farver-Vestergaard I, O'Connor M. Prevalence of prolonged grief disorder in adult bereavement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affective Disord 2017; 212: 138–49.
- 35 Malhi GS, Bassett D, Boyce P, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 2015; 49: 1087–206.
- 36 Hasin DS, Sarvet AL, Meyers JL, et al. Epidemiology of adult DSM-5 major depressive disorder and its specifiers in the United States. JAMA Psychiatry 2018; 75: 336–46.
- 37 Taylor MA, Fink M. Restoring melancholia in the classification of mood disorders. J Affect Disord 2008; 105: 1–14.
- 38 Parker G, Bassett D, Outhred T, et al. Defining melancholia: a core mood disorder. *Bipolar Disord* 2017; 19: 235–37.
- 39 Keller J, Schatzberg AF, Maj M. Current issues in the classification of psychotic major depression. *Schizophr Bull* 2007; 33: 877–85.
- 40 Kaufmann C, Agalawatta N, Masson M, Malhi GS. Phenomenal insights: catatonia—a matter of positioning ideas? *Austr NZ J Psychiatry* 2017; 51: 851–52.
- 41 Fink M. Rediscovering catatonia: the biography of a treatable syndrome. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2013; 127: 1–47.
- 42 Möller H-J, Bandelow B, Volz H-P, Barnikol UB, Seifritz E, Kasper S. The relevance of 'mixed anxiety and depression' as a diagnostic category in clinical practice. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2016; 266: 725–36.
- 43 Goldberg DP. Anxious forms of depression. Depress Anxiety 2014; 31: 344–51.
- 44 Thombs BD, Ziegelstein RC, Roseman M, Kloda LA, Ioannidis JPA. There are no randomized controlled trials that support the United States Preventive Services Task Force guideline on screening for depression in primary care: a systematic review. BMC Med 2014; 12: 13.
- 45 Stuart H. Reducing the stigma of mental illness. Glob Ment Health (Camb) 2016; **3**: e17.
- 46 Mitchell AJ, Yadegarfar M, Gill J, Stubbs B. Case finding and screening clinical utility of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9 and PHQ-2) for depression in primary care: a diagnostic meta-analysis of 40 studies. *BJPsych Open* 2016; 2: 127–38.
- 47 Siu AL, Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, et al. Screening for depression in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. JAMA 2016; 315: 380–87.
- 48 Gibbons RD, Weiss DJ, Kupfer DJ, et al. Using computerized adaptive testing to reduce the burden of mental health assessment. *Psychiatr Serv* 2008; 59: 361–68.
- 49 Mann JJ, Apter A, Bertolote J, et al. Suicide prevention strategies: a systematic review. JAMA 2005; 294: 2064–74.
- 50 Rutz W, Knorring Lv, Wålinder J. Long-term effects of an educational program for general practitioners given by the Swedish Committee for the Prevention and Treatment of Depression. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1992; 85: 83–88.
- 51 Nestler EJ, Hyman SE. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat Neurosci 2010; 13: 1161–69.
- 52 Segal D, Kuczenski R, Mandell A. Theoretical implications of drug-induced adaptive regulation for a biogenic amine hypothesis of affective disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 1974; 9: 147–59.
- 53 Delgado PL, Charney DS, Price LH, Aghajanian GK, Landis H, Heninger GR. Serotonin function and the mechanism of antidepressant action: reversal of antidepressant-induced remission by rapid depletion of plasma tryptophan. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1990; 47: 411–18.
- 54 Willner P, Scheel-Krüger J, Belzung C. The neurobiology of depression and antidepressant action. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2013; 37: 2331–71.
- 55 Goodyer IM, Herbert J, Tamplin A, Altham PME. Recent life events, cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone and the onset of major depression in high-risk adolescents. *Br J Psychiatry* 2000; 177: 499–504.
- 56 Harris TO, Borsanyi S, Messari S, et al. Morning cortisol as a risk factor for subsequent major depressive disorder in adult women. *Br J Psychiatry* 2000; 177: 505–10.

- 57 Knorr U, Vinberg M, Kessing LV, Wetterslev J. Salivary cortisol in depressed patients versus control persons: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2010; 35: 1275–86.
- 58 Keller J, Gomez R, Williams G, et al. HPA axis in major depression: cortisol, clinical symptomatology and genetic variation predict cognition. *Mol Psychiatry* 2017; 22: 527–36.
- 59 Nelson JC, Davis JM. DST studies in psychotic depression: a meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997; **154**: 1497–503.
- 60 Aubry JM. CRF system and mood disorders. J Chem Neuroanat 2013; 54: 20–24.
- 61 Stetler C, Miller GE. Depression and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation: a quantitative summary of four decades of research. *Psychosom Med* 2011; 73: 114–26.
- 62 Hinkelmann K, Moritz S, Botzenhardt J, et al. Cognitive impairment in major depression: association with salivary cortisol. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 66: 879–85.
- 63 Bollen J, Trick L, Llewellyn D, Dickens C. The effects of acute inflammation on cognitive functioning and emotional processing in humans: a systematic review of experimental studies. *J Psychos Res* 2017; 94: 47–55.
- 64 Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2016; **16**: 22–34.
- 65 Setiawan E, Wilson AA, Mizrahi R, et al. Role of translocator protein density, a marker of neuroinflammation, in the brain during major depressive episodes. JAMA Psychiatry 2015; 72: 268–75.
- 66 Leonard BE. Inflammation and depression: a causal or coincidental link to the pathophysiology? *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 2018; **30**: 1–16.
- 67 Egeland M, Zunszain PA, Pariante CM. Molecular mechanisms in the regulation of adult neurogenesis during stress. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2015; 16: 189–200.
- 68 Molendijk ML, Spinhoven P, Polak M, Bus BAA, Penninx BWJH, Elzinga BM. Serum BDNF concentrations as peripheral manifestations of depression: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analyses on 179 associations (N=9484). *Mol Psychiatry* 2014; 19: 791–800.
- 69 Kraus C, Castrén E, Kasper S, Lanzenberger R. Serotonin and neuroplasticity–links between molecular, functional and structural pathophysiology in depression. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2017; 77: 317–26.
- 70 Gururajan A, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Molecular biomarkers of depression. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2016; 64: 101–33.
- 71 Schmaal L. Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; 22: 900–09.
- 72 Kempton MJ, Salvador Z, Munafo MR, et al. Structural neuroimaging studies in major depressive disorder: meta-analysis and comparison with bipolar disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2011; 68: 675–90.
- 73 Cole J, Costafreda SG, McGuffin P, Fu CHY. Hippocampal atrophy in first episode depression: a meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging studies. J Affec Disord 2011; 134: 483–87.
- 74 Boldrini M, Fulmore CA, Tartt AN, et al. Human hippocampal neurogenesis persists throughout aging. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018; 22: 589–99.
- 75 Boldrini M, Santiago AN, Hen R, et al. Hippocampal granule neuron number and dentate gyrus volume in antidepressant-treated and untreated major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2013; 38: 1068–77.
- 76 Hamilton JP, Etkin A, Furman DJ, Lemus MG, Johnson RF, Gotlib IH. Functional neuroimaging of major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis and new integration of baseline activation and neural response data. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012; 169: 693–703.
- 77 Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Ann Rev Clin Psychol* 2014; **10**: 393–423.
- 78 Hamilton JP, Chen MC, Gotlib IH. Neural systems approaches to understanding major depressive disorder: an intrinsic functional organization perspective. *Neurobiol Dis* 2013; 52: 4–11.
- 79 Malhi GS, Byrow Y, Fritz K, et al. Mood disorders: neurocognitive models. *Bipolar Disord* 2015; 17: 3–20.
- 80 Müller VI, Cieslik EC, Serbanescu I, Laird AR, Fox PT, Eickhoff SB. Altered brain activity in unipolar depression revisited: meta-analyses of neuroimaging studies. JAMA Psychiatry 2017; 74: 47–55.

- Flint J, Kendler KS. The genetics of major depression. Neuron 2014; 81: 484–503.
- 82 Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric GWAS Consortium, Sullivan PF, Daly MJ, et al. A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2013; 18: 497–511.
- 83 Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet* 2018; 50: 668–81.
- 84 Howard DM, Adams MJ, Shirali M, et al. Genome-wide association study of depression phenotypes in UK Biobank identifies variants in excitatory synaptic pathways. *Nat Commun* 2018; 9: 1470.
- 35 Cai N, Bigdeli TB, Kretzschmar W, et al. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* 2015; 523: 588–91.
- 86 Kessler RC. The effects of stressful life events on depression. Annu Rev Psychol 1997; 48: 191–214.
- 87 Brown GW, Harris T. Social origins of depression: a study of psychiatric disorder in women. London: Tavistock, 1978.
- 88 Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; 157: 1552–62.
- 89 Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Causal relationship between stressful life events and the onset of major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156: 837–41.
- 90 Li M, D'Arcy C, Meng X. Maltreatment in childhood substantially increases the risk of adult depression and anxiety in prospective cohort studies: systematic review, meta-analysis, and proportional attributable fractions. *Psychol Med* 2016; 46: 717–30.
- 91 Smith DJ, Escott-Price V, Davies G, et al. Genome-wide analysis of over 106 000 individuals identifies 9 neuroticism-associated loci. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; 21: 749–57.
- 92 Teicher MH, Samson JA. Childhood maltreatment and psychopathology: a case for ecophenotypic variants as clinically and neurobiologically distinct subtypes. *Am J Psychiatry* 2013; 170: 1114–33.
- 93 Peterson RE, Cai N, Dahl AW, et al. Molecular genetic analysis subdivided by adversity exposure suggests etiologic heterogeneity in major depression. Am J Psychiatry 2018; 175: 545–54.
- 4 Entringer S, Buss C, Wadhwa PD. Prenatal stress, development, health and disease risk: a psychobiological perspective-2015 Curt Richter Award Paper. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015; 62: 366–75.
- 95 Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Stressful life events and major depression: risk period, long-term contextual threat, and diagnostic specificity. J Nerv Ment Dis 1998; 186: 661–69.
- 96 Klengel T, Binder EB. Epigenetics of stress-related psychiatric disorders and gene×environment interactions. *Neuron* 2015; 86: 1343–57.
- 97 Klengel T, Mehta D, Anacker C, et al. Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation mediates gene-childhood trauma interactions. *Nat Neurosci* 2013; 16: 33–41.
- 98 Bigdeli TB, Ripke S, Peterson RE, et al. Genetic effects influencing risk for major depressive disorder in China and Europe. *Transl Psychiatry* 2017; 7: e1074.
- 99 Cai N, Chang S, Li Y, et al. Molecular signatures of major depression. *Curr Biol* 2015; **25**: 1146–56.
- 100 Gartlehner G, Wagner G, Matyas N, et al. Pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments for major depressive disorder: review of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e014912.
- 101 Gelenberg AJ, Freeman MP, Markowitz JC, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder third edition. Washington: American Psychiatry Association, 2010.
- 102 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Depression in adults: the treatment and management of depression in adults. NICE guidelines [CG90]. London: NICE, 2009.
- 103 Linde K, Rücker G, Sigterman K, et al. Comparative effectiveness of psychological treatments for depressive disorders in primary care: network meta-analysis. BMC Fam Prac 2015; 16: 103.
- 104 Cuijpers P, Berking M, Andersson G, Quigley L, Kleiboer A, Dobson KS. A meta-analysis of cognitive-behavioural therapy for adult depression, alone and in comparison with other treatments. *Can J Psychiatry* 2013; 58: 376–85.

- 105 Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Weitz E, Andersson G, Hollon SD, van Straten A. The effects of psychotherapies for major depression in adults on remission, recovery and improvement: a meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2014; 159: 118–26.
- 106 Mulder R, Boden J, Carter J, Luty S, Joyce P. Ten month outcome of cognitive behavioural therapy v. interpersonal psychotherapy in patients with major depression: a randomised trial of acute and maintenance psychotherapy. *Psychol Med* 2017; 47: 2540–47.
- 107 Anthes E. Depression: a change of mind. *Nature* 2014; 515: 185–87.108 Wampold BE. How important are the common factors in
- psychotherapy? An update. World Psychiatry 2015; 14: 270–77.
 109 DeRubeis RJ, Brotman MA, Gibbons CJ. A conceptual and methodological analysis of the nonspecifics argument.
- Clin Psychol: Sci Pract 2005; 12: 174–83.
 110 Weitz ES, Hollon SD, Twisk J, et al. Baseline depression severity as moderator of depression outcomes between cognitive behavioral therapy vs pharmacotherapy: an individual patient data meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2015; 72: 1102–09.
- 111 Cuijpers P, Sijbrandij M, Koole SL, Andersson G, Beekman AT, Reynolds CF. Adding psychotherapy to antidepressant medication in depression and anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis. World Psychiatry 2014; 13: 56–67.
- 112 Holmes EA, Ghaderi A, Harmer CJ, et al. The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on psychological treatments research in tomorrow's science. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; 5: 237–86.
- 113 Mohr DC, Ho J, Duffecy J, et al. Perceived barriers to psychological treatments and their relationship to depression. J Clin Psychology 2010; 66: 394–409.
- 114 Stiles-Shields C, Corden M, Kwasny M, Schueller S, Mohr D. Predictors of outcome for telephone and face-to-face administered cognitive behavioral therapy for depression. *Psychol Med* 2015; 45: 3205–15.
- 115 Karyotaki E, Riper H, Twisk J, et al. Efficacy of self-guided internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy in the treatment of depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of individual participant data. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017; 74: 351–59.
- 116 Schildkraut JJ. The catecholamine hypothesis of affective disorders: a review of supporting evidence. *Am J Psychiatry* 1965; 122: 509–22.
- 117 Sharp T. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of antidepressant action. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci* 2013; 14: 309–25.
- 118 Undurraga J, Baldessarini RJ. Direct comparison of tricyclic and serotonin-reuptake inhibitor antidepressants in randomized head-to-head trials in acute major depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Psychopharmacol 2017; 31: 1184–89.
- 119 Peretti S, Judge R, Hindmarch I. Safety and tolerability considerations: tricyclic antidepressants vs. selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2000; 101: 17–25.
- 120 Moret C, Isaac M, Briley M. Problems associated with long-term treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *J Psychopharmacol* 2009; 23: 967–74.
- 121 Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2018; **391**: 1357–66.
- 122 Berlim MT, Turecki G. Definition, assessment, and staging of treatment-resistant refractory major depression: a review of current concepts and methods. *Can J Psychiatry* 2007; **52**: 46–54.
- 123 Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry 2006; 163: 1905–17.
- 124 Rush AJ, Fava M, Wisniewski SR, et al. Sequenced treatment alternatives to relieve depression (STAR*D): rationale and design. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2004; 25: 119–42.
- 125 Fekadu A, Wooderson S, Donaldson C, et al. A multidimensional tool to quantify treatment resistance in depression: the Maudsley staging method. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2009; **70**: 177–84.
- 126 Malhi GS, Byrow Y. Is treatment-resistant depression a useful concept? *Evid Based Ment Health* 2016; **19**: 1–3.
- 127 Kamenov K, Twomey C, Cabello M, Prina A, Ayuso-Mateos J. The efficacy of psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy and their combination on functioning and quality of life in depression: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2017; 47: 414–25.

- 128 Jobst A, Brakemeier E-L, Buchheim A, et al. European Psychiatric Association Guidance on psychotherapy in chronic depression across Europe. *Eur Psychiatry* 2016; **33**: 18–36.
- 129 Adli M, Baethge C, Heinz A, Langlitz N, Bauer M. Is dose escalation of antidepressants a rational strategy after a medium-dose treatment has failed? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2005; 255: 387–400.
- 130 Dold M, Bartova L, Rupprecht R, Kasper S. Dose escalation of antidepressants in unipolar depression: a meta-analysis of double-blind, randomized controlled trials. *Psychother Psychosom* 2017; 86: 283–91.
- 131 Ruhé HG, Huyser J, Swinkels JA, Schene AH. Dose escalation for insufficient response to standard-dose selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in major depressive disorder: systematic review. *Br J Psychiatry* 2006; **189**: 309–16.
- 132 Jakubovski E, Varigonda AL, Freemantle N, Taylor MJ, Bloch MH. Systematic review and meta-analysis: dose-response relationship of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2016; **173**: 174–83.
- 133 Debonnel G, Saint-André É, Hébert C, De Montigny C, Lavoie N, Blier P. Differential physiological effects of a low dose and high doses of venlafaxine in major depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2007; 10: 51–61.
- 134 Bauer M, Bschor T, Kunz D, Berghöfer A, Ströhle A, Müller-Oerlinghausen B. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the use of lithium to augment antidepressant medication in continuation treatment of unipolar major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; 157: 1429–35.
- 135 Malhi GS, Gershon S, Outhred T. Lithiumeter: version 2.0. Bipolar Disord 2016; 18: 631–41.
- 136 Zhou X, Keitner GI, Qin B, et al. Atypical antipsychotic augmentation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2015; 18: pyv060.
- 137 Zhou X, Ravindran AV, Qin B, et al. Comparative efficacy, acceptability, and tolerability of augmentation agents in treatment-resistant depression: systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry 2015; 76: e487–98.
- 138 Papakostas GI, Shelton RC, Smith J, Fava M. Augmentation of antidepressants with atypical antipsychotic medications for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2007; 68: 826–31.
- 139 Philip NS, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, Price LH. Augmentation of antidepressants with atypical antipsychotics: a review of the current literature. J Psychiatric Pract 2008; 14: 34–44.
- 140 Cooper-Kazaz R, Lerer B. Efficacy and safety of triiodothyronine supplementation in patients with major depressive disorder treated with specific serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2008; 11: 685–99.
- 141 Rosenthal LJ, Goldner WS, O'Reardon JP. T3 augmentation in major depressive disorder: safety considerations. Am J Psychiatry 2011; 168: 1035–40.
- 142 Candy M, Jones L, Williams R, Tookman A, King M. Psychostimulants for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008; 2: CD006722.
- 143 Papakostas GI, Thase ME, Fava M, Nelson JC, Shelton RC. Are antidepressant drugs that combine serotonergic and noradrenergic mechanisms of action more effective than the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in treating major depressive disorder? A meta-analysis of studies of newer agents. *Biol Psychiatry* 2007; 62: 1217–27.
- 144 Malhi GS, Ng F, Berk M. Dual–dual action? Combining venlafaxine and mirtazapine in the treatment of depression. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2008; 42: 346–49.
- 145 Ruhé HG, Huyser J, Swinkels JA, Schene AH. Switching antidepressants after a first selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor in major depressive disorder: a systematic review. J Clin Psychiatry 2006; 67: 1836–55.
- 146 Heijnen WT, Birkenhäger TK, Wierdsma AI, van den Broek WW. Antidepressant pharmacotherapy failure and response to subsequent electroconvulsive therapy: a meta-analysis. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2010; 30: 616–19.
- 147 Thapar A, Collishaw S, Pine DS, Thapar AK. Depression in adolescence. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 1056–67.

- 148 Asselmann E, Wittchen HU, Lieb R, Beesdo-Baum K. Sociodemographic, clinical, and functional long-term outcomes in adolescents and young adults with mental disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2018; 137: 6–17.
- 149 Diniz BS, Butters MA, Albert SM, Dew MA, Reynolds CF. Late-life depression and risk of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of community-based cohort studies. Br J Psychiatry 2013; 202: 329–35.
- Byers AL, Yaffe K. Depression and risk of developing dementia. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2011; 7: 323–31.
- 151 Wichman CL, Stern TA. Diagnosing and treating depression during pregnancy. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord* 2015; published online April 16. DOI:10.4088/PCC.15f01776.
- 152 Dietz PM, Williams SB, Callaghan WM, Bachman DJ, Whitlock EP, Hornbrook MC. Clinically identified maternal depression before, during, and after pregnancies ending in live births. Am J Psychiatry 2007; 164: 1515–20.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.